The New York Times

Why the president must be impeached and removed.



By Bret StephensOpinion Columnist

Nov. 22, 2019

Donald Trump ought to be impeached and removed from office. This isn't what I thought two months ago, when the impeachment inquiry began. I argued that the evidence fell short of the standards of a prosecutable criminal act. I also feared impeachment might ultimately help Trump politically, as it had helped Bill Clinton in 1998. That second worry might still prove true.

But if the congressional testimonies of Marie Yovanovitch, Bill Taylor, Gordon Sondland, Alexander Vindman and especially Fiona Hill make anything clear, it's that the president's highest crime isn't what he tried to do to, or with, Ukraine.

It's that he's attempting to turn the United States *into* Ukraine. The judgment Congress has to make is whether the American people should be willing, actively or passively, to go along with it.

I've followed Ukrainian politics fairly closely since 1999, when I joined the staff of The Wall Street Journal Europe. It has consistent themes that should sound familiar to American ears.

The first theme is the criminalization of political differences. Years The fourth theme is covert Russian interference, usually facilitated by before Trump led his followers in Lock Her Up, chants against Hillary local actors Clinton, then-Ukrainian President Viktor Yanukovych did exactly that erainstehisteren melitikadisiyads Yuliar Tyneeshanka whony asisa atenced to noverevence imprison oper yar is ty abby zentina); havee safte ipsheshadhe vour and its proxies. But long before the Kremlin's "little green men" arrived in Crimea in 2014, Russia she spent three years in prison before her release during the 2014 and its agents were using every dirty trick at their disposal, from Maidan Revolution. Key to Yahukovych's efforts to discredit poisoning a future Ukrainian president with dioxin to poisoning the Tymoshenko was — who else? — Paul Mahajort. media landscape with disinformation. Too often, it worked, whether becaused the maiss the use of political, office of, reshiral casains tyrion in al prosagutionand as a vehicle for personal and familial enrichment. Why have so many of Ukraine's oligarchs — including Burisma Holdings That last point was also made by Fiona Hill in her testimony on jounder Mykola Ziochevsky — also served as government ministers? Thursday, where she warned members of the House Intelligence simple: Because, until recently, it shielded them from criminal Committee that they ran the risk of themselves falling victims to prosecution thanks to parliamentary immunity, while also providing "politically driven falsehoods," regarding a bogus theory about them with the means to use government power for their own benefit. Ukrainian political interference, "that so clearly advance Russian Therthird theme is what one might call the netherworldization of political life, in which conspiracy theories abound, off-stage figures wield outsized Yet the person who is both the principal consumer and purveyor of those influence, and channels of formal authority are disconnected from the falsehoods is the president of the United States, just as he has been a real centers of power. purveyor of so many other conspiracy theories. Even now, this should This reality came vividly to light in 2016, when a parliamentary effort to vote "no confidence" in the government of then-Prime Minister Arseniy It doesn't, because we'ye been living in a country undergoing its own Yatsenyuk unexpectedly collapsed, thanks to the usual string-pulling dismal process of Ukrajnjanization: of treating fictions as facts; and from the country's wealthiest power brokers. As Ukrainian political propaganda as journalism; and political opponents as criminals; and commentation Maxim Eristavi noted at the time, in Ukraine There are political offices as business ventures; and personal relatives as no party lines, no real policy debates; no ideological clashes: just cold-diplomatic representatives; and legal fixers as shadow cabinet hearted vested interests and short-term alliances between various members; and extortion as foreign policy; and toadyism as patriotism;

and fellow citizens as "human scum"; and mortal enemies as long-lost friends — and then acting as if all this is perfectly normal. This is more than a high crime. It's a clear and present danger to our security, institutions, and moral hygiene.

It's to the immense credit of ordinary Ukrainians that, in fighting Russian aggression in the field and fighting for better governance in Kyiv, they have shown themselves worthy of the world's support. And it's to the enduring shame of the Republican Party that they have been willing to debase our political standards to the old Ukrainian level just when Ukrainians are trying to rise to our former level.

The one way to stop this is to make every effort to remove Trump from office. It shouldn't have to wait a year.

The Times is committed to publishing a diversity of letters to the editor. We'd like to hear what you think about this or any of our articles. Here are some tips. And here's our email: letters@nytimes.com.

Follow The New York Times Opinion section on Facebook, Twitter (@NYTopinion) and Instagram.